Impulsively Induced Jets from Viscoelastic Films for High-Resolution Printing
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Understanding jet formation from non-Newtonian fluids is important for improving the quality of various printing and dispensing techniques. Here, we use a laser-based nozzleless method to investigate impulsively formed jets of non-Newtonian fluids. Experiments with a time-resolved imaging setup demonstrate multiple regimes during jet formation that can result in zero, single, or multiple drops per laser pulse. These regimes depend on the ink thickness, ink rheology, and laser energy. For optimized printing, it is desirable to select parameters that result in a single-drop breakup; however, the strain-rate dependent rheology of these inks makes it challenging to determine these conditions a priori. Rather, we present a methodology for characterizing these regimes using dimensionless parameters evaluated from the process parameters and measured ink rheology that are obtained prior to printing and, so, offer a criterion for a single-drop breakup.
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Liquid jet formation and subsequent breakup to form droplets depend on the interplay among the elastic, inertial, capillary, and viscous effects [1–3]. The underlying forces also dictate whether a liquid filament will result in multiple, single or zero droplets [4,5]. While the behavior of jets from Newtonian fluids (Newtonian jets) and drop formation are more predictable with the well-understood Rayleigh-Plateau [6,7] instability, it is observed that jets from non-Newtonian fluids (non-Newtonian jets) generally exhibit different structures compared to Newtonian jets due to their strain-rate dependent rheology and inherent viscoelasticity and/or viscoplasticity. An example of this phenomenon for non-Newtonian jets is the observation of “beads-on-a-string” formation, which refers to a nearly periodic arrangement of drops connected along an unbroken but narrow polymeric thread [8,9]. Modeling of the beads-on-a-string dynamics showed that a low ratio of the viscous to inertia-capillary time scales (or Ohnesorge number) [10–12] and aspect ratios larger than a critical value for a given polymer [13] enhance bead formation. Such structures on non-Newtonian jets should be understood and controlled, if possible, for a given engineering application.

In this Letter, we investigate the formation of jets from viscoelastic dilute polymer solutions. In particular, we seek to identify a methodology for determining the optimum printing conditions to yield a single-drop breakup following formation of a jet. As an experimental technique, blister-actuated laser induced forward transfer (BA-LIFT) is used [14]. BA-LIFT has been developed as a nozzleless printing technique in which a laser pulse is absorbed by an interfacial layer that forms a rapidly growing blister [Fig. 1(a)], where the blister results from the plastic deformation on the polyimide layer [15]. This technique, along with other LIFT variants, has been used extensively for the printing of technologically relevant materials [16–19]. Studies on BA-LIFT found that after the rapid formation of the blister and the transfer of the kinetic energy to the fluid, a jet starts to form normal to the blister due to the inertial forcing, and the surrounding fluid is pulled from the vicinity of the blister towards its center [20,21]. This phenomenon makes BA-LIFT a useful platform for investigating non-Newtonian inks, because the rheology of these materials changes depending on the applied strain rate. A typical blister generated using the

FIG. 1. BA-LIFT experimental setup and blister formation. (a) A schematic of the experimental setup of BA-LIFT along with the representation of the substrate and blister formation. The ink with thickness $H$ in the vicinity of the blister undergoes shear flow to form the jet. (b) A contour plot of a 21.7 μm blister profile as it appears under the confocal microscope. The dashed red line is the centerline of the blister. (c) Blister profiles created with high and low energies.
BA-LIFT experimental setup [Fig. 1(a)] has a Gaussian-like profile as shown in Fig. 1(b). In this study, two different energy levels are used to generate blisters, with the shape profiles of these blisters presented in Fig. 1(c). The formation of a jet and material transfer depend on the combination of experimental parameters including laser energy, ink layer thickness, and the rheology of the material to be printed.

Xanthan gum (XG) solutions, which exhibit shear-thinning and viscoelastic behavior similar to inks such as silver pastes [22], are chosen as a model ink to investigate the jetting behavior. Solutions are prepared with five different xanthan gum concentrations [XG]: 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.4, and 0.8 wt % in water (W) and water-glycerol (WG) mixtures. Representative results of viscosity versus shear rate from shear rheology measurements are presented in Fig. 2(a). The dependence on shear rate for the viscosities of these solutions can be expressed with a power law in the form of \( \mu(\dot{\gamma}) = \alpha \dot{\gamma}^{n} \). We measure the viscoelastic relaxation time \( \lambda \) from the diameter-thinning dynamics of a gravity jet [23] as depicted in Fig. 2(b). In this figure, the time axis denotes the time before the pinch-off of the jet \((t_0 - t)\) and the filament diameter \((D_{\text{min}})\) is the smallest diameter along the filament [see the inset of Fig. 2(b)]. During pinch-off, the exponential part of the curve can be fitted with the profile \( D_{\text{min}} \propto \exp[(1/3\lambda)(t_0 - t)] \) [23,24], which allows an estimate of \( \lambda \). Increasing polymer concentration results in longer relaxation times, as expected [25]; the relaxation time remains almost the same for different ratios of water and glycerol [26].

In our BA-LIFT experiments, five different jetting and drop formation regimes are observed from time-resolved imaging results. One of these regimes is the “no jetting” regime, where the supplied energy to the fluid is insufficient for jet formation. Figure 3(a) presents the jetting without breakup regime where the incident laser energy is high enough to initiate the jet formation; however, it is insufficient for the jet to go through pinch-off [33]. This limiting behavior is a consequence of a combination of the viscoelastic effects that result from the resistance of polymer chains to extension and surface tension that resists the propagation of a filament due to the creation of a new surface. The “multiple-drop breakup” regime is shown in Fig. 3(b). For viscoelastic fluids, sometimes this response has been referred to in the literature [9] as beads-on-a-string (BOAS) breakup. Since the BOAS regime results in multiple droplets transferred to a substrate, it is not desirable for high-resolution printing [34]. Also, a turbulentlike regime is observed as shown in Fig. 3(c), which was recently identified as ligament-mediated fragmentation [35] and results in a spraylike ejection process and gets completed before a uniform jet is formed.

Single-drop pinch-off is depicted in Figure 3(d). It is the most desirable operating regime for printing. We observe that higher xanthan gum concentrations with small ink layer thicknesses result in single-drop pinch-off, while lower polymer concentrations allow for the formation of multiple drops. Figure 4(a) shows the distribution of the five jetting regimes with changing xanthan gum concentrations for water-based solutions.

The governing forces and the observed dynamical regimes are better understood when the dimensionless parameters are evaluated. The time scales of the forces for jet formation derive from elastic, the inertia-capillary, and visco-capillary effects. The elastic time scale is characterized by the relaxation time \( \lambda \), which is evaluated as described above. The inertia-capillary time scale can be
defined as $t_c = \sqrt{\frac{\rho H^3}{\sigma}} = \sqrt{0.118/\omega_R}$, where $\sigma$ denotes the surface tension, $\rho$ is the density of the fluid, and $H$ is the ink layer thickness, which is proportional to the jet radius [26,36], and $\omega_R$ defines the conventional Rayleigh capillary instability growth rate [37]. The viscocapillary time scale [1] is defined as $t_v = \mu_0 H/\sigma$, where $\mu_0$ denotes the zero shear viscosity.

The Ohnesorge, $Oh = \mu_0/\sqrt{\rho \sigma H}$, and Deborah $De = \lambda \omega_R$, numbers have been used in the literature [11,36] to compare the relative effects of the underlying forces. Previous studies have considered the zero-shear viscosity for the viscous time scale while evaluating the Ohnesorge number [38]. However, in thin-film configurations with BA-LIFT, analytical and numerical studies of the early time dynamics show that the fluid pulled from the sides experiences shear [21], so the effective shear viscosity should be changed accordingly using the rheological data. We address this problem by evaluating a characteristic shear rate ($\dot{\gamma}_{ch}$) from analytical energy and mass balances defined for the blister (Fig. 5). We define $U_b$ as the time and space-averaged blister velocity, $\Delta V_b$ is the volume of the blister evaluated using numerical integration from the profile obtained using confocal microscopy, $\mu_{el}$ is the elongational viscosity whose evaluation is explained in [26], $H_b$ is the blister height, $U_{jet}$ is the velocity of the jet in the normal direction to the fluid layer, $\Delta A$ is the new area created by the deformation of the free surface, $U_{shear}$ is the maximum value of the shear velocity that is assumed to have a linear profile (Fig. 5), and $R_b$ denotes the blister radius. Then, the energy balance can be written as
In the energy balance (1), the first expression on the left-hand side denotes the kinetic energy supplied to the fluid due to the motion of the blister. We assume that there is a no-slip boundary condition between the moving blister and the fluid that is attached to the solid surface, so that, at early times, the fluid has the same velocity as the blister. The second term on the left-hand side represents the energy lost to the viscous dissipation with the expansion of the blister. Accordingly, the elongational viscosity ($\mu_{el}$) is included in the analysis with the strain rate given in the normal direction.

The energy remaining after the initial motion and dissipation consists of three different contributions as shown in the right-hand side of (1). The first term on the right-hand side is the kinetic energy of the jet. The second term represents the energy cost of forming new surface area, where $\Delta A$ is evaluated numerically from the profile of the blister. The last term is the energy lost to shear in an approximate shear flow. Here, the viscosity is taken as the zero-shear viscosity, which, in turn, results in a more conservative estimate of the shear velocity.

The approximate mass balance given in (2) accounts for the mass leaving the control domain with the mean velocity ($U_{jet}$), and the mass entering the domain from the sides through the cylindrical face of the control volume with the mean velocity ($U_{shear}/2$), where a linear velocity profile across the film was assumed. Using the shear flow speed of the fluid in the vicinity of the blister ($U_{shear}$) calculated from these balances, the characteristic shear rate is estimated as $\dot{\gamma}_{ch} = U_{shear}/H$. Knowing $\dot{\gamma}_{ch}$, the resulting effective Ohnesorge number $Oh^*$ is evaluated as $Oh^* = t_c'/t_c = \mu(\dot{\gamma}_{ch})/\sqrt{\rho a H}$, where $\mu(\dot{\gamma}_{ch})$ is the viscosity evaluated with the characteristic shear rate from the rheological data.

While the Deborah number, $De = \lambda a R$, is conventionally used to include the effect of elasticity in the analysis of non-Newtonian filaments [11,39], it should be noted that $De$ does not include any information that is related to the forcing, which is produced by the rapid expansion of the blister. The forcing directly affects the amount of stretching of the filament, which should be expected to influence the fluid properties. In particular, the viscoelastic filament exhibits larger stresses with stretching, and the effective relaxation time of the polymer solution decreases with increasing strain rate [40,41]. The relative stretching of a filament can be denoted with the aspect ratio of the jet $L_{max}/H$, where $L_{max}$ is the jet intact length before the breakup. The jet extends until it breaks up at $t_{breakup}$, $H \approx U_c t_{breakup}$ since $H$ is comparable to the jet radius [26], where $U_c = \sqrt{\rho a H}$ is a typical inertially estimated breakup speed. Also, $L_{max} \approx U_b t_{breakup}$, where $U_b$ is the laser-energy-dependent time and space-averaged blister velocity used as an estimate for the jet velocity in the axial direction, which is a measure of stretching. Since $H L_{max} \approx U_c U_b$, we propose a modification to the Deborah number as $De^* = \lambda a R(U_c/U_b)$.

The comparison of the two modified dimensionless parameters, $De^*$ and $Oh^*$, leads to the separation of the observed regimes as shown in Fig. 4(b). For a filament to break up into droplets, the capillary thinning should overcome the viscous resistance, which leads to the condition $t_{c'} > t_c$ or $Oh^* < 1$ as given in the literature for Newtonian filaments [5]. Furthermore, since the viscoelastic filaments produce higher radial stresses as they are stretched, the effective relaxation time can be expected to fulfill the condition $\lambda H/H_{max} > t_c'$ for the breakup.

The multiple drop regimes can be separated from the single-drop regime by examining the Ohnesorge number [5,11]. A numerical study [11] recently investigated the thinning of viscoelastic filaments fixed between two circular plates, and multiple drop formation was reported to be suppressed for $Oh > 0.5$. In our experiments, this threshold can be expected to be lower due to the energy dissipated shearing of the ink layer in the BA-LIFT configuration.

The region of jetting without breakup can be separated from the no-jetting region by examining the early times of the process prior to jet formation. If the inertial effect is large relative to the deformation caused by the rapidly forming blister, all of the energy is absorbed by the film, and no jet is formed. To check the influence of inertia, the inertia-capillary time scale, $t_c$, again applies and should be compared with the time scale of the elastic effects, $\lambda$. Therefore, the region separating no jetting from jetting

$$U_{jet}aR_b^2 = \frac{U_{shear}}{2} \pi R_b H. \quad (2)$$
without breakup can be roughly described by horizontal De = const or De* = const line [Fig. 4(b)].

Furthermore, the regime diagram presented in Fig. 4(b) shows that the De* = Oh* line separates the jetting regimes with breakup from the regimes without breakup. Also, no breakup is observed for Oh* > 1. The Oh* ≈ 0.2 line can be used to separate multiple drop regimes from the single-drop regime. In addition, the unmodified Deborah number threshold separating the no jetting from jetting without breakup regimes is evaluated as De ≈ 10 for the higher laser energy (21.7 μJ), and De ≈ 35 for the lower laser energy (17.4 μJ). For the single-drop ejection to take place, the three conditions mentioned above, De* > Oh*, 0.2 < Oh* < 1, and De > const, should be satisfied. These dimensionless numbers can be evaluated using the experiment parameters before the printing is performed, and the criteria presented here can be used to predict the resulting regime of the jetting process, which is crucial for the success of the drop-on-demand deposition techniques. The methodology described in this Letter can be generalized to other dispensing and printing applications that utilize jets. The general conclusions and guidelines can help enable new high-resolution printing methods with other viscoelastic materials.
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